

Line 214: Please cite the R packages you are using when they are mentioned in the first time (the R Core Team citation is now cited in line 235, below).

Lines 404-408: Why does a correlation of $r=0.32$ (age~trab%) require an explanation, but a nearly similar value ($r=0.29$, age~trabecular_compact) is not considered good enough as an answer? I might have misunderstood, please clarify.