Line 214: Please cite the R packages you are using when they are mentioned in the first time (the R Core Team citation is now cited in line 235, below).

Lines 404-408: Why does a correlation of r=0.32 (age^trab%) require an explanation, but a nearly similar value (r=0.29, age^trabecular_compact) is not considered good enough as an answer? I might have misunderstood, please clarify.