The paper is a good report about indigenous grinding stones in Arunachal Pradesh of India, but before publishing it needs major revision:

**Abstract**: it doesn’t include the method of investigation and the results of it.

**Keywords** are not accurate, I suggest: India, Arunachal Pradesh, Shertukpen tribe, Indigenous culture, Grinding stone;

**Introduction**: the motivation and question are not clearly presented. The history of grinding stones and their types of tools is poor.

**Results**: The type of stones is important on the quality of grounded flour and the reason that people choose them is fundamental when you want to do an investigation on the grinding stone. Also, it would be interesting if the origin places of these grinding stones were specified on the map. In addition, the types of wooden planks and the variety of them are important.

- The caption of the figures is too short, please explain a bit.

- The text inside the map is not readable.

- It needs a table including the places and the types of grinding tools with some more details such as size, types, materials, etc.

**Discussion**: the discussion needs to revise, it focused mainly on social interactions. But it needs to discuss the manufacturing of grinding stones and traditional methods as well as the reasons for the utilization of grinding stones until now in some regions. Also, the types of stone should be considered and the accessibility of the stone from the mountain must be investigated because is effective in the usage of them.

**Conclusion**: is poor, it included some sentences from some references! It is better to revise and explain the achievements of your investigations.